

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/773,757

Applicant(s)

ELLIOTT ET AL.

Examiner

Chi Q. Nguyen

Art Unit

3635

**All Participants:**(1) Chi Q. Nguyen.(2) APPLICANT.**Status of Application:** UNDER FINAL(3) APPLICANT'S ATTORNEY.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_**Time:** \_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☐ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☒ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ NoIf Yes, provide a brief description: LAB TEST TO SHOW HOW THE DEVICE'S BENEFIT FOR POSTS.**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

YES

Claims discussed:

ONE

Prior art documents discussed:

US PAT. NO. 6,050,033 TO WRIGHTMAN**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

APPLICANT PROPOSES THE CLAIMED LANGUAGE TO OVERCOME THE PRIOR ART- AND EXAMINER WILL CONSIDER WHEN THE FORMAL RESPONSE IS FILED.**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)